

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		E	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/966,033 09/28/2001		Joshua R. Smith	103140-0012U1	7207		
24267	7590 05/0	4/2006		EXAMINER		
	ND MCKENNA, FALCON AVENU	SMITH, TRACI L				
BOSTON, MA 02210				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
-				3629		

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			33	SMITH ET AL.				
				Art Unit				
		Traci L. S	mith	3629				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THE 1.136(a). In no evided will apply and water the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cord (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) To Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is n	 on-final. for formal matters, pro		merits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5) □ 6) □ 7) ⊠ 8) □ Applicati 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 2-4,7,9-11,13,20-26,28-30 and 32 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3, 7, 9 is/are without Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2, 4, 21-24 and 28-30 is/are objected to restriction and con Papers The specification is objected to by the Exame The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	eted to. d/or election relation relation relation relation to the drawing (s)	equirement. Dobjected to by the Ended in abeyance. See led if the drawing(s) is objected in abeyance.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	• •			
		Examiner. 140	he the attached Office	Action of form F IV	0-102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is in response to papers files on February 8, 2006.
- 2. Claims 34-47 have been withdrawn.
- 3. Claims 2-4,7,9-11,13,20-26,28-30 and 32 are pending.
- 4. Claims 3, 7, 9-11, 13, 20 and 32 have been withdrawn by examiner.
- 5. Claims 2, 4, 21-24 and 28-30 are rejected.

Election/Restrictions

6. Applicant's election with traverse of Species C tracing package information with regards to the contents of the package in the reply filed on February 8, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that all claims of the restriction group I are in the same class and would not be an undue search on the examiner. This is not found persuasive because the election of species is not made due to amount of searching required or classification of inventions the election is because the species are considered **distinct** inventions, species can fall into the same class/subclass but still be different from each other.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

7. Claims 3, 7, 9-11, 13, 20 and 32 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on February 8, 2006.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,033

Art Unit: 3629

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Page 3

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 9. Claims2, 4, 21-24 and 28-30 recites the limitation "the respective users", "the mail piece sender", "the identified users", "the listing", "the contents", "the delivery status" "the image", "the mail piece sender" in several sections of all the above listed claims. There inconsistent language between pluralities and later single usage i.e., "produce listings" and "the listing" as well as user identifiers are not the same as "the identified users" and "user identifiers are not the same as "the respective users". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Applicant is requested to review claims and correct the above noted insufficiencies to maintain language continuity.
- 10. Claims 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims list "respective users" then in later steps recite "given users". Examiner is unable to determine if the "users" are the same or if there are two types of users being claimed.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,033

Art Unit: 3629

11. Claims 2, 4, 21-24 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent 6,285,916 Multi-stage Parcel Tracking System; Kadaba et al.; hereinafter referred to as Kadaba.

Page 4

- 12. As to claims 2, 4, 21-24 and 28-30 Kadaba teaches
 - a. Matching user id, tracking numbers, names etc with parcels being shipped and shipping status(C. 7 I. 30-35)
- 13. Displaying a message to a user indicating the status of the shipped parcel(C. 7 I. 65-67 & C. 8 I. 1-2). The examiner notes that "information relating to contents" is also status information. If there parcel is in transit then the contents of the parcel are therefore in-transit as well. However, as to applicants "information relating to contents" and "image of contents" these differences are only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and are not functionally involved in the steps recited. **The steps would be performed regardless of the information or images presented.** Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see in re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 44(Fed. Cir. 1983); In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ 2d 1031(Fed. Cir. 1994).
 - b. Allowing the user to view the information over a computer network(C. 8 I.9-15).
 - c. Computers for displaying tracking information to the user(C. 9 I. 43-46).

Application/Control Number: 09/966,033

Art Unit: 3629

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Traci L. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-6809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 571-272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TLS

JOHN G. WEISS
CUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
COSC OF CENTER 3360

juil

Page 5